

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/323,230	06/01/1999	YASUNORI UETANI	2185-0343P	8929
2292	7590 05/23/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747		CHU, JOHN S Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	19
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4,50	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/323,230	TAKATA ET AL.				
×	Examin r	Art Unit				
	John S. Chu	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with the correspond nc address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing date of the shortener of the shor	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 6-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		John S. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752				
						

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/323,230



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 1 now recites a specific quinonediazide not considered at the time the Final rejection was given, thus the claims would require further consideration.